IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

WILFORD RANEY, on behalf of	§		
himself and all others similarly	§		
situated,	§		
	§		
Plaintiff,	§		
	§		
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.	
	§		
JSC FEDERAL CREDIT UNION,	§		
	§		
Defendant	§		

APPENDIX TO NOTICE OF REMOVAL

EXHIBIT NO.	DATE FILED	DESCRIPTION
A-1	8/2/2021	Docket Sheet
A-2	6/17/2021	Request for Issuance of Citation
A-3	7/6/2021	Affidavit of Service
A-4	6/17/2021	Class Action Complaint (with attached JSC Federal Credit Union Fee Schedule)
A-5	8/2/2021	Defendant's Original Answer
A-6	N/A	List of Counsel of Record

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Jonathan D. Neerman

Jonathan D. Neerman State Bar No. 24037165 jneerman@jw.com

JACKSON WALKER LLP 2323 Ross Avenue, Suite 600 Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: 214.953.5664 (Direct Dial) Facsimile: 214.661.6899 (Direct Fax)

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT JSC FEDERAL CREDIT UNION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this 2nd day of August, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served upon the following counsel of record via the Courts electronic filing service:

Angelica Gentile Shamis & Gentile, P.A. 14 NE 1st Avenue, Suite 705 Miami, FL 33132 (305) 479-2299 – Telephone agentile@shamisgentile.com

/s/ Jonathan D. Neerman

Jonathan D. Neerman

Exhibit A-1

Case 4:21-cv-02493 Document 1-1 Filed on 08/02/21 in TXSD Page 4 of 35

HCDistrictclerk.com RANEY, WILFORD (OBO HIMSELF AND ALL PERSONS

SIMILARLY SITUATED) vs. JSC FEDERAL CREDIT

8/2/2021

UNION

Cause: 202136515 CDI: 7 Court: 281

DOCUMENTS

Number	Document	Post Date Jdgm	Pgs
96690150	Affidavit of Service-JSC FEDERAL CREDIT UNION	07/06/2021	3
96401289	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	06/17/2021	13
·> 96401290	JSC FEDERAL CREDIT UNION FEE SCHEDULE	06/17/2021	4
·> 96401291	REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF SERVICE	06/17/2021	1

Exhibit A-2

201 Caroline | P.O. Box 4651 | Houston, Texas 77210-4651 | 832-927-5800 | www.hcdistrictclerk.com

CASE NUMBER:	or Issuance of Service CURRENT COURT:	
Name(s) of Documents to be served: Complaint and Exhibit A		
FILE DATE: Month/ SERVICE TO BE ISSUED ON (Please List Exa	Day/Year actly As The Name Appears	In The Pleading To Be
Served):		
Issue Service to: JSC Federal Credit Union		
Address of Service: 1330 Gemini		
City, State & Zip: Houston, Texas 77058		
Agent (if applicable)		
TYPE OF SERVICE/PROCESS TO BE ISSUE		
☐ Citation ☐ Citation by Posting ☐	Citation by Publication	☐ Citations Rule 106 Service
Citation Scire Facias Newspaper		
☐ Temporary Restraining Order ☐ I	Precept	■ Notice
☐ Protective Order		
☐ Secretary of State Citation (\$12.00) ☐ C	Capias (not by E-Issuance)	Attachment (not by E-Issuance)
☐ Certiorari ☐ Hi	ghway Commission (\$12.00))
☐ Commissioner of Insurance (\$12.00) ☐ Ha	ague Convention (\$16.00)	☐ Garnishment
Habeas Corpus (not by E-Issuance)	junction	☐ Sequestration
□ Subpoena		
Other (Please Describe)		
(See additional Forms for Post Judgment Service	ce)	
SERVICE BY (check one): ATTORNEY PICK-UP (phone) MAIL to attorney at: CONSTABLE CERTIFIED MAIL by District Clerk	(No Servi Note: The email registered used to retrieve the E-Issu	ce by District Clerk ce Copy Fees Charged) i with EfileTexas.gov must be tance Service Documentscom for more instructions.
☐ CIVIL PROCESS SERVER - Authorized Pe	erson to Pick-up:	Phone:
OTHER, explain		

Issuance of Service Requested By: Attorney/Party Name: <u>Angelica Gentile</u> Bar # or ID <u>24112322</u>

Mailing Address: 14 NE 1st Ave, Ste. 705, Miami, FL 33132

Phone Number: 305-479-2299

Exhibit A-3

Marilyn Burgess - District Clerk Harris County
Envelope No. 55079094
By: Jennifer Ochoa
Filed: 7/6/2021 3:22 PM

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

State of Texas

County of Harris

281st Judicial District Court

Case Number: 2021-36515

Plaintiff:

Wilford Raney, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

VS.

Defendant:

Jsc Federal Credit Union.

For:

Global Process Services

Received by Bay Oaks Process, LLP on the 30th day of June, 2021 at 1:03 pm to be served on **Jsc Federal Credit Union,** 1330 Gemini Street, Houston, Harris County, TX 77058.

I, Collin Wellman Psc 16156 Exp: 3/31/2023, being duly sworn, depose and say that on the 1st day of July, 2021 at 9:53 am, I:

INDIVIDUALLY/PERSONALLY served by delivering a true copy of the Citation, Class Action Complaint with the date and hour of service endorsed thereon by me, to: Manager April Ferguson at the address of: 1330 Gemini Street, Houston, Harris County, TX 77058, and informed said person of the contents therein, in compliance with state statutes.

I am not a party to this case nor am I related to, employed by, or otherwise connected to **other than having been retained to serve process in this case** any party or any party's attorney in this case; and I have no interest in the outcome of this lawsuit.

I am over the age of eighteen (18) years of age. I am of sound mind and have never been convicted of a felony or misdemeanor involving moral turpitude.

DEVIN REID BURDG
Notary Public, State of Texas
Comm. Expires 02-24-2024
Notary ID 132372305

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the 1st day of July, 2021 by the affiant who is personally known to me.

NOTARY PUBLIC

Collin Wellman Psc 16156 Exp: 3/31/2023 Process Server

Bay Oaks Process, LLP PO Box 5703 Pasadena, TX 77508 (281) 815-0191

Our Job Serial Number: BYO-2021001206

Receipt Number: 899480 Tracking Number: 73881577 EML

COPY OF PLEADING PROVIDED BY PLT

CAUSE NUMBER: 202136515

PLAINTIFF: RANEY, WILFORD (OBO HIMSELF AND ALL In the 281st Judicial

PERSONS SIMILARLY SITUATED)

vs. District Court of

DEFENDANT: JSC FEDERAL CREDIT UNION Harris County, Texas

CITATION

THE STATE OF TEXAS County of Harris

TO: JSC FEDERAL CREDIT UNION

1330 GEMINI

HOUSTON TX 77058

Attached is a copy of CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT.

This instrument was filed on June 17, 2021, in the above numbered and styled cause on the docket in the above Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas, in the courthouse in the City of Houston, Texas. The instrument attached describes the claim against you.

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the District Clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you. In addition to filing a written answer with the clerk, you may be required to make initial disclosures to the other parties of this suit. These disclosures generally must be made no later than 30 days after you file your answer with the clerk. Find out more at TexasLawHelp.org.

ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND and seal of said Court, at Houston, Texas, this June 17, 2021.



Marily Burgess

Marilyn Burgess, District Clerk Harris County, Texas 201 Caroline, Houston, Texas 77002

Generated By: MONICA JACKSON

Issued at request of: GENTILE, ANGELICA 14 NE 1ST AVENUE SUITE 705 MIAMI, FL 33132 305-479-2299

Bar Number: 24112322

Tracking Number: 73881577 EML

Notary Public

CAUSE NUMBER: 202136515

PLAINTIFF: RANEY, WILFORD (OBO HIMSELF AND	In the 281st
ALL PERSONS SIMILARLY SITUATED)	
vs.	Judicial District Court
DEFENDANT: JSC FEDERAL CREDIT UNION	of Harris County, Texas

OFFICER/AUTHORIZED PERSON RETURN Came to hand at _____o'clock ____. M., on the _____ day of _____, 20_____. Executed at (address) in _____ County at _____ o'clock ____. M., on the _____, 20 ____, by delivering to _____ _____ day of _____defendant, in person, a true copy of this Citation together with the accompanying ____ copy(ies) of the ____ Petition attached thereto and I endorsed on said copy of the Citation the date of delivery. To certify which I affix my hand officially this _____ day of _____, 20 _____. FEE: \$ _____ ____of County, Texas Ву: _____ Deputy Affiant _____, known to me to be On this day, _ the person whose signature appears on the foregoing return, personally appeared. After being by me duly sworn, he/she stated that this citation was executed by him/her in the exact manner recited on the return. SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME on this _____ of _____, 20 _____

Exhibit A-4

Page 12 0T 35 6/17/2021 1:44 PM
Marilyn Burgess - District Clerk Harris County

Envelope No. 54523265

By: Monica Jackson Filed: 6/17/2021 1:44 PM

2021-36515 / Court: 281

Cause No.

WILFORD RANEY, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff.

VS.

JSC FEDERAL CREDIT UNION,

Defendant.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Wilford Raney ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all persons similarly situated, alleges the following based on personal knowledge as to allegations regarding the Plaintiff and on information and belief as to other allegations.

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and classes of all similarly situated consumers against Defendant JSC Federal Credit Union, ("Defendant" or "JSC FCU"), arising from its deceptive practice of misleading accountholders into paying ACH Transfer Fees.
- 2. For those looking to make a dishonest dollar, the question is a perennial one: how to convince unsophisticated consumers to spend money on an otherwise free or valueless service? The answer is also perennial: use superior information to trick consumers who do not understand a complicated system or novel product.
- 3. This action concerns the National Automated Clearinghouse ("NACHA") system, a complex electronic payments system operating invisibly in the background of much of the nation's

economic activity. With many actors playing specialized roles, all tying thousands of banking institutions, merchants, and payment processors together, the system is well beyond the comprehension of individual consumers like Plaintiff Raney.

- 4. As described further herein, JSC Federal Credit Union exploits its informational advantage to deceive its account holders into paying \$2 ACH Transfer Fees. It does so with a multiprong effort tomis represent and obscure the truth about the NACHA payment processing system. That truth is as follows: *any* transfer over the NACHA system can *always* be made for free.
- 5. Plaintiff, on behalf of themselves and Classes of similarly situated consumers (defined below), seek to end JSC Federal Credit Union's deceptive practices and force it to refund improper ACH Transfer Fees. Plaintiff seeks damages, restitution, and injunctive relief, as set forth more fully below.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Defendant, JSC Federal Credit Union, regularly and systematically transacts business and provides retail banking services to its customers throughout the State of Texas, including in this county. As such, it is subject to the personal jurisdiction of this Court.
- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this cause of action because it involves an amount in controversy in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court. No diversity of citizenship exists in this matter.
- 8. Venue is proper in Harris County under Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 15.002(a)(3) because Defendant has its principal place of business in Texas in Harris County.

PARTIES

9. Plaintiff Raney is a citizen and resident of Texas. Plaintiff Raney maintains a checking account at JSC Federal Credit Union. At all times relevant, Plaintiff patronized a JSC Federal Credit Union bankinglocated in Texas.

10. Defendant JSC Federal Credit Union is a national bank with its headquarters and principal place of business located in Harris County, Texas. Among other things, JSC Federal Credit Union is engaged in the business of providing retail banking services to consumers, including Plaintiff and members of the putative classes.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

I. THE NACHA SYSTEM

- 11. The NACHA system is a complex electronic payments system operating invisibly in the background of much of the nation's economic activity. Without being aware of it, millions of consumer payments take place on the system daily, including for utility bills, insurance payments, deposits into investment accounts, gym and other monthly memberships, and even small-dollar PayPal and Venmo transfers.
- 12. In every ACH transaction, there is an Originator and a Receiver, and an Originating Depository Financial Institution ("ODFI") and a Receiving Depository Financial Institution ("RDFI").
- 13. The Originator of the ACH transaction is the individual or merchant requesting that an ACH debit or credit take place.
- 14. The Receiver of the ACH transaction is the individual or merchant that authorized the Originator to initiate the ACH transaction.
- 15. The ODFI is the financial institution that receives the request from the Originator and submits the request to the ACH network.
- 16. The RDFI is the financial institution that receives the ACH transaction from the ODFI and posts the transaction to the account of the Receiver.
- 17. This complicated, multi-actor system has a defining design feature: payees and payors are on equal footing. Any given transfer of money can be *affirmatively sent* to a payee or—

3

with the proper authorization—that same payee can take the money directly from a payor's account.

18. In other words, unlike with most all other consumer economic activity, which requires the payor to send or provide funds to a payee (as with writing a check or handing over cash), the NACHA system allows payees with proper authorization to "pull" money directly from accounts.

II. JSC FEDERAL CREDIT UNION DECEIVES ACCOUNTHOLDERS INTO PAYING ACH TRANSFERFEES

- 19. That means, for any given payment or transfer, the NACHA system allows the payee to affirmatively send it *or* the payor to simply take funds from an account.
- 20. An accountholder wishing to make a transfer from their JSC Federal Credit Union account can easily accomplish this by *either* "pushing" the funds from JSC Federal Credit Union via JSC Federal Credit Union's online banking portal or "pulling" the funds from JSC Federal Credit Union via a portal operated by the receiving financial institution. The endresult is the same: money transferred seamlessly, electronically, and quickly between accounts.
- 21. Still, the workings of the NACHA system are a mystery to the millions of American consumers whose payments are sent on the system each day.
- 22. JSC Federal Credit Union is engaged in a multi-prong effort to deceive its accountholders about the workings of the NACHA system, so that it may use its superior knowledge about the system to extract fee income from its accountholders.
- 23. JSC Federal Credit Union misrepresents the central design feature of the NACHA system—that *any* transfer can be "pushed" from a payor or "pulled" from a payee, and that the latter is *free* in the following ways.
- 24. *First*, on its Online Banking interface and website, JSC Federal Credit Union states that transferring money from a JSC Federal Credit Union account to an account at another

4

institution is free:

Click name for more details

@Banking

With eBanking, you'll have free access to your USC FCU accounts anytime of the day.

Good to know.

• Feet None
• You can view account balances, account history, make transfers or loan payments and open up additional accounts.

• Other services available through eBanking:
• Bin Pay
• «Statements

Express Transfer - Account to Account (ADA) Transfer and Person-to-Person (P2P) Transfer

25. *However*, JSC Federal Credit Union misrepresents the workings of the NACHA system in its Fee Schedule. JSC Federal Credit Union's Fee Schedule, under "Electronic Services," states that "eBanking online banking external transfer (each)" transfers are "\$2.00":

Click here for more details

Electronic Services	Personal	Business
Express check withdrawal through eBanking telephone banking / eBanking online banking	\$15.00	\$15.00
Check withdrawal through eBanking (each, after second in month;	\$1.00	-\$1,00
Balance inquiry at non-JSC FCU ATMs	\$0.25	\$0.25
ATM cash withdrawal at non-USC FCU ATMs (first4 at no charge)	\$0.5 0	\$0.50
e8anking online banking external transfer (each)	\$2.00	\$2.00
Online Payment Center ACH or Credit Card (one time transaction)	\$3.00	\$3.00
Online Payment Center ACH (recurring transaction)	\$0.00	\$0.00
Oredit Card Payment by Phone (one time transaction)	\$10.00	\$10.00

Ex. A at 3.

- 26. But this is false. As above, an accountholder may "send and receive" ACH transfers for free, with no fee whatsoever, by simply instructing the payee to pull funds from his or her account.
- 27. By stating that an accountholder may "send and receive" an ACH transfer only for \$2, JSC Federal Credit Union deceives accountholders into believing that such fees are a fundamental part of moving money via the ACH system. That is not true, as described above.

- 28. Moreover, by stating that the fee is "\$0" *only* for "inbound" ACH transfers, JSC Federal Credit Union again deceives accountholders into believing the NACHA system does not allow the transfer of money from an account without a fee.
- 29. Accountholders can initiate the same ACH transfer from JSC Federal Credit Union to other financial institutions "without a transfer fee" provided they do so from the receiving financial institution. Thus, JSC FCU's disclosure again provides the misimpression that ACH and wire transfers always require a fee, when in fact, they do not.
- 30. In the very design of its Online Banking interface, JSC Federal Credit Union misrepresents the true nature of the NACHA system, misrepresenting that the *only* options for moving funds via ACH require a fee.
- 31. JSC Federal Credit Union lists only one option in its fee schedule—which requires a fee. The "eBanking online banking external transfer (each)" for \$2 is the cheapest option, and consumers like Plaintiff pay these fees under the mistaken belief that such fees are unavoidable.
- 32. JSC Federal Credit Union furthers this misconception by labeling it an "eBanking" "transfer" fee, which leadsreasonable consumers to believe the fee is an unavoidable fee for the "transfer" of funds via the ACH network. That is not true, as described above.
- 33. As discussed herein, each of the above representations are false and misleading, as they lead reasonable consumers like Plaintiff Raney to believe that they must pay an ACH Transfer Fee in order to transfer money to a payee, when in fact they do not.
- 34. Because—in the absence of misrepresentations regarding the NACHA system—no reasonable consumer would ever pay to make an ACH transfer, none of JSC Federal Credit Union's major competitors charge ACH Transfer Fees like JSC Federal Credit Union does, *viz.*, Chase, Capital One, Citibank, HSBC, PNC, TD Bank, Ally, U.S. Bank, and Wells Fargo.
 - 35. Through its misrepresentations, JSC Federal Credit Union deceives its

accountholders into paying for atransfer that they otherwise could get for free.

C. Plaintiff's Experiences

- 36. In support of their claims, Plaintiff offers examples of fees that they would not have paid had they known they did not have to.
- 37. At various times while a JSC Federal Credit Union accountholder, Plaintiff Raney transferred funds from his JSC Federal Credit Union account to his accounts at other financial institutions. Because of JSC Federal Credit Union's misrepresentations and deceptive design of its Online Banking Interface, Plaintiff unwittingly incurred fees for making ACH transfers. For example, on January 19, 2021, April 13, 2021, May 19, 2021, May 20, 2021, and May 28, 2021, Plaintiff Raney transferred funds from his JSC Federal Credit Union account to his account at another financial institution.
- 38. Believing he was required to pay an ACH Transfer Fee for sending money to a payee via NACHA, Plaintiff initiated the transfer from his JSC Federal Credit Union account and was charged and paid a \$2 fee.
- 39. Plaintiff paid the same fee to JSC Federal Credit Union numerous times while an accountholder at JSC Federal Credit Union, each time relying upon JSC Federal Credit Union's misrepresentations regarding the operation of the NACHA system.
- 40. Had Plaintiff and others similarly situated had known that they could have made the exact same transfers for free, had they initiated the transfers from the receiving bank account, they would not have paid the ACH Transfer Fees.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

41. Plaintiff Raney brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 42(a), (b)(2), (b)(3) & (c). This action satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance and superiority requirements of Tex. R. Civ. P.

42.

42. The proposed Nationwide Class is defined as:

All JSC Federal Credit Union account holders who, during the applicable statute of limitations, were charged ACH Transfer Fees on an ACH transfer to a payee.

43. The alternative Texas state subclass is defined as:

All JSC Federal Credit Union account holders in the state of Texas who, during the applicable statute of limitations, were charged ACH Transfer Fees on an ACH transfer to a payee.

- 44. The Class and alternative state subclasses defined above are collectively referred to herein as the "Class." Plaintiff reserves the right to modify or amend the definitions of the proposed Class before the Court determines whether certification is appropriate.
- 45. Excluded from the Class are JSC Federal Credit Union, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, officers and directors, any entity in which JSC Federal Credit Union has a controlling interest, all customers who make a timely election to be excluded, governmental entities, and all judges assigned to hear any aspect of this litigation, as well as their immediate family members.
- 46. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder is impractical. The Class consists of thousands of members, the identity of whom is within the knowledge of, and can be ascertained only by resort to, JSC Federal Credit Union's records.
- 47. The claims of the representative Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class they seek to represent in that the representative Plaintiff, like all Class members, were charged improper and deceptive fees as alleged herein. The representative Plaintiff, like all Class members, have been damaged by JSC Federal Credit Union's misconduct in that they have been assessed deceptive ACH Transfer Fees. Furthermore, the factual basis of JSC Federal Credit Union's misconduct is common to all Class members and represents a common thread of unfair and unconscionable conduct resulting in injuryto all members of the Class. And JSC Federal Credit

Union has no unique defenses that would apply to Plaintiff and not the Class.

- 48. There are numerous questions of law and fact common to the Class and those common questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members.
 - 49. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class include the following:
 - a. Whether JSC Federal Credit Union violated the consumer protection laws of certain states through its fee policies and practices;
 - b. The proper method or methods by which to measure damages;
 - c. Whether JSC Federal Credit Union was unjustly enriched; and
 - d. Whether Plaintiff and the class are entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief and the nature of that relief.
- 50. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of other Class members, in that they arise out of the same wrongful JSC Federal Credit Union ACH fee policies and practices. Plaintiff has suffered the harmalleged and have no interests antagonistic to the interests of any other Class member.
- 51. Plaintiff is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action and have retained competent counsel experienced in the prosecution of class actions and, in particular, consumer class actions against financial institutions. Accordingly, Plaintiff is an adequate representative and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.
- 52. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Since the amount of each individual Class member's claim is small relative to the complexity of the litigation, and due to the financial resources of JSC Federal Credit Union, no Class member could afford to seek legal redress individually for the claims alleged herein. Therefore, absent a class action, the Class members will continue to suffer losses and JSC Federal Credit Union's misconduct will proceed without remedy.

- 53. Even if Class members themselves could afford such individual litigation, the court system could not. Given the complex legal and factual issues involved, individualized litigation would significantly increase the delay and expense to all parties and to the Court. Individualized litigation would also create the potential for inconsistent or contradictory rulings. By contrast, a class action presents far fewer management difficulties, allows claims to be heard which might otherwise go unheard because of the relative expense of bringing individual lawsuits, and provides the benefits of adjudication, economies of scale and comprehensive supervision by a single court.
- 54. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty to be encountered in the maintenance of this action that would preclude its treatment as a class action.
- 55. JSC Federal Credit Union has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to each of the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to each Class as a whole.
 - 56. All conditions precedent to bringing this action have been satisfied and/or waived.
- 57. Application of Texas law to the Nationwide Class with respect to Plaintiff and Class members' claims is neither arbitrary nor fundamentally unfair because Texas has significant contacts and a significant aggregation of contacts that create a state interestin the claims of the Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class.
- 58. The State of Texas has a significant interest in regulating the conduct of businesses operating within its borders. Texas, which seeks to protect the rights and interests of Texas and all residents and citizens of the United States against a company headquartered and doing business in Texas, has an interest in the Plaintiff's claims.
- 59. The principal place of business of JSC Federal Credit Union in Houston, Texas, is the "nervecenter" of its business activities—the place where its high-level officers direct, control, and coordinate the corporation's activities, including account and major policy, financial, and legal

decisions related to ACH Fees.

- 60. JSC Federal Credit Union's corporate decisions regarding its misrepresentation and/or failure to disclose ACH Fees were made from and in Texas.
 - 61. JSC Federal Credit Union's tortious conduct emanated from Texas.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Texas Consumer Protection Law

(On Behalf of the Plaintiff and the Nationwide Class and Texas Subclass)

- 62. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 63. As described herein, JSC Federal Credit Union's practice of misleading accountholders into believing they must pay ACH Transfer Fees to transfer via the ACH network constitutes an unfair and deceptive trade practice under Tex. Bus. & Com. § 17.46 et seq.
- 64. As described herein, JSC Federal Credit Union's description of its ACH Transfer Fees are deceptive, and they mislead customers into paying these fees when they can otherwise avoid them. And JSC Federal Credit Union's description of its ACH Transfer Fees are material.
- 65. JSC Federal Credit Union's actions affected commerce in Texas, as many of its Texas customers were charged these unfair and deceptive fees.
- 66. Plaintiff reasonably relied upon JSC Federal Credit Union's deceptive misrepresentations regarding its ACH Transfer Fees. Had Plaintiff known that he could have made the exact same transfers for free, he would not have paid the ACH Transfer Fees.
- 67. Plaintiff has been actually damaged as the direct and proximate result of JSC Federal Credit Union's unfair competition and unfair and deceptive trade practices.
- 68. Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recovery of treble damages and, in the discretion of the Court, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs by virtue of JSC Federal Credit Union's unfair and deceptive trade practices.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF <u>UNJUST ENRICHMENT</u> (On Behalf of the Plaintiff and the Class)

- 96. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates paragraphs 1-61 as if fully set forth herein.
- 97. To the detriment of the Plaintiff and the Class, Defendant has been, and continues to be, unjustly enriched as a result of its wrongful conduct alleged herein.
- 98. Plaintiff and the Class conferred a benefit on Defendant when they paid Defendant ACH Transfer Fees that they were misled into believing they were required to pay in order to complete an outgoing ACH transfer.
- 99. Defendant unfairly, deceptively, unjustly, and/or unlawfully accepted said benefits, which under the circumstances, would be unjust to allow Defendant to retain.
- 100. Defendant's unjust enrichment is traceable to, and resulted directly and proximately from, the misconduct alleged herein.
- 101. Plaintiff and the Class, therefore, seek disgorgement of all wrongfully obtained fees received by Defendant as a result of its inequitable conduct as more fully stated herein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class demand a jury trial on all claims so triable and judgment as follows:

- Certifying the Class and appointing Plaintiff as Class Representative and his counsel as Class Counsel;
- 2. Declaring JSC FCU's ACH Fee misrepresentations described herein to be wrongful;
- 3. Restitution of all ACH Transfer Fees paid to JSC FCU by Plaintiff and the Class as a result of the wrongs alleged herein, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 4. Disgorgement of the ill-gotten gains derived by JSC FCU from its misconduct;

- 5. Actual damages in an amount according to proof;
- 6. Punitive and exemplary damages;
- 7. Pre-judgment interest at the maximum rate permitted by applicable law;
- 8. Treble damages, statutory damages, punitive damages, and attorneys' fees as provided by law;
- 9. Costs and disbursements assessed by Plaintiff in connection with this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to applicable law; and
- 10. Such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff and all others similarly situated hereby demand trial by jury on all issues in this complaint that are so triable as a matter of right.

Dated: June 17, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

Shamis & Gentile, P.A.

/s/ Angelica M. Gentile

Angelica Gentile, Esq.

Texas Bar No. 24112322

agentile@shamisgentile.com

14 NE 1st Avenue, Suite 705

Miami, FL 33132

Telephone: 305-479-2299

2021-36515 / Court: 281

JSC Federal Credit Union FEE SCHEDULE

EFFECTIVE JANUARY 19, 2021

Share/Savings Account Services	Personal	Business
Membership Fee	No charge	N/A
Account balance below \$5.00 (monthly)	No charge	N/A
Copy of monthly or quarterly statement	\$2.00	\$2.00
Stop payment of JSC FCU check (per request)	\$25.00	\$25.00
Excessive withdrawal fee (each, after second in month)	\$1.00	\$1.00
Bad address fee (monthly)	\$5.00	\$5.00
Early Account Closure (within 180 days)	\$5.00	\$5.00
Dormant Account (collected before closing)	\$5.00	\$5.00

Checking Account Services	Personal	Business
Monthly service charge (A monthly dividend approved by the Board will be earned on daily account balances.)	No charge	No charge
Copy of cleared check	\$3.00	\$3.00
Automatic overdraft transfer (each item)	\$2.00	\$2.00
Stop payment order (per request)	\$25.00	\$25.00
Insufficient funds (each item)	\$26.00	\$26.00
Overdrawn account	\$26.00	\$26.00
Privilege Pay	\$28.00	\$28.00
Returned item	\$26.00	\$26.00
Verification of cleared check by telephone	\$ 2.00	\$ 2.00
Research (hourly)* *No research fee will be charged on an account if the error was caused by the Credit Union	\$15.00	\$15.00
Checking account balancing (hourly)	\$15.00	\$15.00
Balance Inquiry by telephone	\$2.00	\$2.00
Wire Services		
Outgoing Domestic	\$15.00	\$15.00
Outgoing International via Fed	\$45.00	\$45.00
Amendment or tracer - International	\$20.00	\$20.00

Account Services	Personal	Business
Copy of cashier's or teller check	\$3.00	\$3.00
Insufficient funds Debit Card purchase	\$26.00	\$26.00
Foreign currency purchase (shipping & handling fee only)	\$20.00	\$20.00
Foreign currency sale (selling back shipping fee)	\$10.00	\$10.00
Change order fee (per coin roll)	\$0.10	\$0.10

Check Forms	Personal	Business
Check form charges will vary according to style ordered. (Postage for undeliverable/returned ordered check forms will be charged to the member's account, which can be approximately \$4.00 - \$6.00)	Varies	Varies

Travelers Checks	Personal	Business
Traveler's checks for two	1% of total	1% of total
Gift Check	\$2.50	\$2.50

Gift Cards	Personal	Business
Each (regardless of dollar amount)	\$4.00	\$4.00

Debit / ATM / Credit Cards	Personal	Business
Card initially provided at no cost	No charge	No charge
Replacement (each card)	\$4.00	\$4.00

Notary Service	Personal	Business
First 3 documents (up to 3 per day)	No charge	No charge
Each additional document	\$1.00	\$1.00
Non-member notary work (per item)	\$2.00	\$2.00

Coin Counter	Personal	Business
Members - first \$200	No charge	No charge
Members - over \$200	5% of total	5% of total
Non-members (regardless of dollar amount)	10% of total	10% of total

Negotiable Instruments	Personal	Business
Stop payment on cashier or teller check (restrictions apply)	\$25.00	\$25.00
Withdrawal by check if payable to a third party	\$2.00	\$2.00
Money Orders (each, regardless of dollar amount, maximum amount is \$1,000)	\$1.00	\$1.00
Cashier's Check (each, regardless of dollar amount)	\$2.00	\$2.00

Collection Services	Personal	Business
Coupons and Bonuses (per envelope)	\$5.00	\$5.00
International Items	\$25.00	\$25.00
Insurance Drafts	\$3.00	\$3.00
Items sent for collections - Domestic	\$10.00	\$10.00

Safe Deposit Boxes (Annual Rent)	Personal	Business
3 x 5	\$15.00	\$15.00
3 x 10	\$30.00	\$30.00
5 x 10	\$45.00	\$45.00
10 x 10	\$90.00	\$90.00
Box drilling fee	\$175.00	\$175.00
Replace lost key fee	\$15.00	\$15.00
Emergency box drilling fee	\$210.00	\$210.00

Business Accounts	Personal	Business
Business Checking early closure (closed within 180 of account opening)	N/A	\$25.00
Change Orders		
Per Cash Order	N/A	\$2.50
Per Cash Strap	N/A	\$0.30
Per Coin Roll	N/A	\$0.10
Incoming Wire Transfer (per incoming wire)	N/A	\$5.00
Returned Item Fee (if not drawn on your own account at another institution)	N/A	\$5.00
Basic Business Checking		
Per item fee over 150 items per month	N/A	\$0.25
Business Account Plus Checking		
Minimum balance fee (if balance falls below \$1,000 during the month)	N/A	\$10.00
Per item fee over 200 items per month	N/A	\$0.25

Electronic Services	Personal	Business
Express check withdrawal through eBanking telephone banking / eBanking online banking	\$15.00	\$15.00
Check withdrawal through eBanking (each, after second in month)	\$1.00	\$1.00
Balance inquiry at non-JSC FCU ATMs	\$0.25	\$0.25
ATM cash withdrawal at non-JSC FCU ATMs (first 4 at no charge)	\$0.50	\$0.50
eBanking online banking external transfer (each)	\$2.00	\$2.00
Online Payment Center ACH or Credit Card (one time transaction)	\$3.00	\$3.00
Online Payment Center ACH (recurring transaction)	\$0.00	\$0.00
Credit Card Payment by Phone (one time transaction)	\$10.00	\$10.00

Bill Pay Service	Personal	Business
Monthly fee (includes twenty bill payments)	\$2.00	\$2.00
Each additional item	\$0.30	\$0.30
Research fee	\$28.00	\$28.00

Miscellaneous Services	Personal	Business
Domestic Fax	\$1.50	\$1.50
International Fax	\$3.00	\$3.00
Mortgage Verification of Deposit	\$10.00	N/A
Signature Guarantee	No charge	No charge
Garnishment (additional legal fees may be assessed)	\$25.00	\$25.00
Tax Levies (additional legal fees may be assessed)	\$25.00	\$25.00
Overnight mail (FedEx)	Cost to mail	Cost to mail
Temporary Checks (twelve)	\$5.00	\$5.00



www.jscfcu.org 281.488.7070 800.940.0708

Exhibit A-5

CAUSE NO. 2021-36515

WILFORD RANEY, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff,

v.

HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

JSC FEDERAL CREDIT UNION,

Defendant

281st JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

Defendant JSC Federal Credit Union (hereinafter "Defendant" or "JSC FCU") files this, its Original Answer, in the above-styled cause, and respectfully shows the Court as follows:

GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to TEX. R. CIV. P. 92, Defendant denies each and every, all and singular, the material allegations contained in Plaintiff's live pleading filed herein, and demands strict proof thereof.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Plaintiff fails to state a claim for which relief may be granted.
- 2. Plaintiff's state law claims, and those of the members of the putative class, are preempted by federal law, including without limitation the Federal Credit Union Act and the Truth in Savings Act.
- 3. Plaintiff's claims, and those of the members of the putative class, are barred, in whole or in part, by the failure of a condition precedent, and JSC FCU did not waive its right to performance of any conditions precedent. Plaintiff, and those members of the putative class, failed

to give the 60-day notice of his claim, which is required by the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act.

- 4. Plaintiff's claim, and those of the members of the putative class, are barred, in whole or in part, by the terms and conditions of the contractual agreement between the parties and/or applicable law.
- 5. Plaintiff, and those members of the putative class, are not entitled to additional damages amounting to statutory penalties under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act.
- 6. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, as Plaintiff lacks standing to sue under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act as he was not personally aggrieved by the alleged wrong.
- 7. Plaintiff's claims, and those of the members of the putative class, are barred, in whole or in part, by waiver, ratification or estoppel.
- 8. Plaintiff's claims, and those of the members of the putative class, are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of unclean hands and in part delicto.
- 9. Plaintiff's claims, and those of the members of the putative class, are barred in whole or in part by the applicable statute of limitations.
- 10. Plaintiff's claims, and those of the members of the putative class, are barred, in whole or in part, because any loss that Plaintiff alleges was not caused by the fault or wrongdoing of JSC FCU or persons or entities over which JSC FCU had responsibility or control.
- 11. Plaintiff's claims to a class action should be denied because any alleged injury cannot be proven on a class wide-basis with common methods of proof.
- 12. Plaintiff's claims to a class action should be denied because any alleged injury cannot be proven on a class wide-basis with common methods of proof.

13. Plaintiff's claims to a class action should be denied because Plaintiff does not

adequately represent the interests of the proposed class.

14. If Plaintiff has sustained damages, which JSC FCU expressly denies, then Plaintiff

failed to take reasonable steps and make reasonable efforts to mitigate his damages.

PRAYER

For these reasons, Defendant JSC Federal Credit Union respectfully prays that Plaintiff

take nothing by reason of this suit against it, that Plaintiff's suit against it be dismissed with

prejudice, that all costs be assessed against Plaintiff, and that Defendant JSC Federal Credit Union

be awarded such further relief, both general and special, at law or in equity, to which it may be

justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Jonathan D. Neerman

Jonathan D. Neerman

State Bar No. 24037165

jneerman@jw.com

Shelisa E. Brock

State Bar No. 24097420

sbrock@jw.com

JACKSON WALKER LLP

2323 Ross Avenue, Suite 600

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: 214.953.5664 (Direct Dial)

Facsimile: 214.661.6899 (Direct Fax)

ATTORNEYS FOR

JSC FEDERAL CREDIT UNION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this 2nd day of August, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served upon the following counsel of record via FileTime electronic filing service:

Angelica Gentile Shamis & Gentile, P.A. 14 NE 1st Avenue, Suite 705 Miami, FL 33132 agentile@shamisgentile.com

/s/ Jonathan D. Neerman

Jonathan D. Neerman

Exhibit A-6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

WILFORD RANEY, on behalf of	§	
himself and all others similarly	§	
situated,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.
	§	
JSC FEDERAL CREDIT UNION,	§	
	§	
Defendant	§	

LIST OF COUNSEL OF RECORD

Pursuant to LR81, Defendant JSC Federal Credit Union provides a list of all counsel of records as follows:

1. Counsel for Wilford Raney, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated

Angelica M. Gentile Shamis & Gentile, P.A. 14 NE 1st Avenue, Suite 705 Miami, FL 33132 (305) 479-2299 – Telephone agentile@shamisgentile.com

2. Counsel for Defendant JSC Federal Credit Union

Jonathan D. Neerman Jackson Walker LLP 2323 Ross Avenue, Suite 600 Dallas, Texas 75201 (214) 953-5664 – Telephone jneerman@jw.com